

Executable interface specifications for testing asynchronous Creol components

I. Grabe, M. Kyas, M. Steffen, and A. B. Torjusen

University of Oslo FU Berlin CWI, Amsterdam

FSEN'09



Background

- Project:
 - asynchronously communicating components in open environments, using Creol
 - behavioral interface description language
 - automated validation techniques
 - testing
- Challenges:
 - asynchronicity
 - non-determinism
- Approach:
 - “divide-and-conquer”
 - black-box behavior given by interactions at the *interface*.

General setting

Goal: Test components under specific schedulings.

Tool: Specification language over communication labels.

- Input interactions: environment *assumptions*.
- Output interactions: *commitments* of the component.

⇒ : expected observable output behavior under the *assumption* of a certain scheduling of input.

Method: Specification simulates environment behavior.

- execute component and specification in parallel
- *generate* incoming communication from specification.
- *test* actual outgoing communication from the component.

Main contributions (outline)

- 1 Theoretical basis:
 - Formalization of the interface behavior of Creol.
 - The behavioral interface specification language.
- 2 framework for scheduling and asynchronous testing of Creol objects.
- 3 Implementation of a specification-driven Creol interpreter.

Creol (www.uio.no/~creol): high-level, object-oriented language for distributed systems

- strongly typed, formal operational semantics in rewriting logic
- features *active objects*.
- communication by *asynchronous* method calls.
- Creol object: acts as a *monitor*.
- *non-deterministic* selection of waiting calls.

Abstract Creol syntax

$C ::= \mathbf{0} \mid C \parallel C \mid \underline{\nu(n:T)}.C \mid n[(O)] \mid \underline{n[n, F, L]} \mid \underline{n\langle t \rangle}$
 $O ::= F, M$
 $M ::= l = m, \dots, l = m$
 $F ::= l = f, \dots, l = f$
 $m ::= \zeta(n:T).\lambda(x:T, \dots, x:T).t$
 $f ::= \zeta(n:T).\lambda().v \mid \zeta(n:T).\lambda().\perp_{n'}$
 $t ::= v \mid \text{stop} \mid \text{let } x:T = e \text{ in } t$
 $e ::= t \mid \text{if } v = v \text{ then } e \text{ else } e \mid \text{if } \text{undef}(v.l()) \text{ then } e \text{ else } e$
 $\mid v@l(\vec{v}) \mid v.l() \mid v.l := \zeta(s:n).\lambda().v$
 $\mid \text{new } n \mid \text{claim}@ (n, n) \mid \underline{\text{get}@n} \mid \text{suspend}(n) \mid \underline{\text{grab}(n)} \mid \underline{\text{release}(n)}$
 $v ::= x \mid n \mid ()$
 $L ::= \perp \mid \top$

component
object
method suite
fields
method
field
thread
expr.

values
lock status

- *component*: classes, objects, and (named) threads.
- *active*, executing entities: *named threads* $n\langle t \rangle$
- hiding and dynamic scoping: **v**-operator

Operational semantics

Two stages:

- **internal** semantics
- **external** steps occurring at the interface.
- Component/environment: exchange information via *call*- and *return*-labels:

$$\gamma ::= n\langle \text{call } n.l(\vec{v}) \rangle \mid n\langle \text{return}(n) \rangle \mid v(n:T).\gamma$$

basic labels

$$a ::= \gamma? \mid \gamma!$$

input and output labels

- External steps

$$\Xi \vdash C \xrightarrow{a} \Xi \vdash \hat{C}$$

- $\Xi =$ “**context**” of C (assumptions + commitments)
- contains identities + typing of objects and threads known so far
- *checked* in incoming communication steps
- *updated* when performing a step

Operational semantics

Two stages:

- **internal** semantics
- **external** steps occurring at the interface.
- Component/environment: exchange information via *call*- and *return*-labels:

$$\gamma ::= n\langle \text{call } n.l(\vec{v}) \rangle \mid n\langle \text{return}(n) \rangle \mid v(n:T).\gamma$$

basic labels

$$a ::= \gamma? \mid \gamma!$$

input and output labels

- External steps

$$\Xi \vdash C \xrightarrow{a} \Xi \vdash \hat{C}$$

- $\Xi =$ “**context**” of C (assumptions + commitments)
- contains identities + typing of objects and threads known so far
- *checked* in incoming communication steps
- *updated* when performing a step

External steps: outgoing call

$$\frac{a = v(\Xi'), n\langle \text{call } o.l(\vec{v}) \rangle! \quad \Delta \vdash o \quad \Xi = \Xi + a \quad \Xi' = \text{fn}([a]) \cap \Xi_1 \quad \Xi_1 = \Xi_1 \setminus \Xi'}{\Xi \vdash v(\Xi_1).(C \parallel n\langle \text{let } x : T = o.l(\vec{v}) \text{ in } t \rangle) \xrightarrow{a} \Xi \vdash v(\Xi_1).(C \parallel n'\langle \text{let } x : T = n \text{ in } t \rangle)}$$

- label = outgoing call (Δ = assumption context)
- update the contexts
- scope extrusion

External steps: outgoing call

$$\frac{a = v(\Xi'). n\langle \text{call } o.l(\vec{v}) \rangle! \quad \Delta \vdash o \quad \Xi = \Xi + a \quad \Xi' = \text{fn}([a]) \cap \Xi_1 \quad \Xi_1 = \Xi_1 \setminus \Xi'}{\Xi \vdash v(\Xi_1).(C \parallel n\langle \text{let } x : T = o.l(\vec{v}) \text{ in } t \rangle) \xrightarrow{a} \Xi \vdash v(\Xi_1).(C \parallel n'\langle \text{let } x : T = n \text{ in } t \rangle)}$$

- label = outgoing call (Δ = assumption context)
- update the contexts
- scope extrusion

External steps: outgoing call

$$\frac{a = v(\Xi'). n\langle \text{call } o.l(\vec{v}) \rangle! \quad \Delta \vdash o \quad \Xi' = \Xi + a \quad \Xi' = \text{fn}([a]) \cap \Xi_1 \quad \Xi'_1 = \Xi_1 \setminus \Xi'}{\Xi \vdash v(\Xi_1).(C \parallel n\langle \text{let } x : T = o.l(\vec{v}) \text{ in } t \rangle) \xrightarrow{a} \Xi \vdash v(\Xi'_1).(C \parallel n'\langle \text{let } x : T = n \text{ in } t \rangle)}$$

- label = outgoing call (Δ = assumption context)
- update the contexts
- scope extrusion

External steps: outgoing call

$$\frac{a = v(\Xi'). n\langle \text{call } o.l(\vec{v}) \rangle! \quad \Delta \vdash o \quad \Xi = \Xi + a \quad \Xi' = \text{fn}(\lfloor a \rfloor) \cap \Xi_1 \quad \Xi'_1 = \Xi_1 \setminus \Xi'}{\Xi \vdash v(\Xi_1).(C \parallel n\langle \text{let } x : T = o.l(\vec{v}) \text{ in } t \rangle) \xrightarrow{a} \Xi \vdash v(\Xi'_1).(C \parallel n'\langle \text{let } x : T = n \text{ in } t \rangle)}$$

- label = outgoing call (Δ = assumption context)
- update the contexts
- scope extrusion

External steps

labelled steps at the interface

$$a = v(\Xi'). n\langle \text{call } o.l(\vec{v}) \rangle? \quad \Xi \vdash a : T \quad \dot{\Xi} = \Xi + a$$

$$\frac{}{\Xi \vdash C \parallel o[c, F, \perp] \xrightarrow{a} \dot{\Xi} \vdash C \parallel o[c, F, \top] \parallel n\langle \text{let } x : T = M.l(o)(\vec{v}) \text{ in release}(o); x \rangle} \text{CallI}$$

$$a = v(\Xi'). n\langle \text{call } o.l(\vec{v}) \rangle! \quad \Xi' = fn(\lfloor a \rfloor) \cap \Xi_1 \quad \dot{\Xi}_1 = \Xi_1 \setminus \Xi' \quad \Delta \vdash o \quad \dot{\Xi} = \Xi + a$$

$$\frac{}{\Xi \vdash v(\Xi_1).(C \parallel n\langle \text{let } x : T = o.l(\vec{v}) \text{ in } t \rangle) \xrightarrow{a} \dot{\Xi} \vdash v(\dot{\Xi}_1).(C \parallel n'\langle \text{let } x : T = n \text{ in } t \rangle)} \text{CallO}$$

$$a = v(\Xi'). n\langle \text{return}(v) \rangle? \quad \Xi \vdash a : \text{ok} \quad \dot{\Xi} = \Xi + a$$

$$\frac{}{\Xi \vdash C \xrightarrow{a} \dot{\Xi} \vdash C \parallel n\langle v \rangle} \text{RetI}$$

$$a = v(\Xi'). n\langle \text{return}(v) \rangle! \quad \Xi' = fn(\lfloor a \rfloor) \cap \Xi_1 \quad \dot{\Xi}_1 = \Xi_1 \setminus \Xi' \quad \dot{\Xi} = \Xi + a$$

$$\frac{}{\Xi \vdash v(\Xi_1).(C \parallel n\langle v \rangle) \xrightarrow{a} \dot{\Xi} \vdash v(\dot{\Xi}_1).C} \text{RetO}$$

Behavioral interface specification language

Black-box behavior of a component described by a set of traces

Design goals:

- concise
- intuitive
- executable in rewriting logic

$\gamma ::= x\langle call\ x.l(\vec{x}) \rangle \mid x\langle return(x) \rangle \mid v(x:T).\gamma \mid (x:T).\gamma$

basic labels

$a ::= \gamma? \mid \gamma!$

input and output

$\varphi ::= X \mid \varepsilon \mid a.\varphi \mid \varphi + \varphi \mid rec\ X.\varphi$

specifications

- specification language: uses variables
- **two** kinds of var. **binders**
- Creol communication labels: concrete names/references.

Behavioral interface specification language

- *distinguish* between input and output interactions:
 - Input: controlled by the environment.
 - Output: to be provided by the component.
- Input interactions are the ones being *scheduled*.
- Output interactions are used for *testing*.

$\varphi ::= X \mid \epsilon \mid a.\varphi \mid \varphi + \varphi \mid \text{rec } X.\varphi$ specifications

- Specially relevant for the choice operator: either external or internal choice.
- Formalized as well-formedness conditions.

Well-formedness

- Restrict specifications to traces actually possible at the interface.
- three main restrictions:
 - typing
 - scoping
 - communication patterns
- given as *derivation/type system* over trace specs.
- **polarity**: specifications either well-formed *input* or well-formed *output*.

Asynchronicity—“Observational blur”

- asynchronicity: messages order not preserved in communication.
- The specification is relaxed up-to *observational equivalence*
- Testing of output only up-to observability.

$$\frac{}{\nu(\Xi).\gamma_1!.\gamma_2!.\varphi \equiv_{obs} \nu(\Xi).\gamma_2!.\gamma_1!.\varphi} \text{EQ-SWITCH}$$

Operational semantics of specifications

Given the observational equivalence relation (\equiv_{obs}), the meaning of a specification is given operationally in a quite straightforward manner:

$$\frac{\acute{\Xi} = \Xi + a}{\Xi \vdash a.\varphi \xrightarrow{a} \acute{\Xi} \vdash \varphi} \text{R-PREF} \qquad \frac{\Xi \vdash \varphi_1 \xrightarrow{a} \acute{\Xi} \vdash \varphi'_1}{\Xi \vdash \varphi_1 + \varphi_2 \xrightarrow{a} \acute{\Xi} \vdash \varphi'_1} \text{R-PLUS}_1$$
$$\frac{\varphi \equiv_{obs} \varphi' \quad \Xi \vdash \varphi' \xrightarrow{a} \Xi \vdash \varphi''}{\Xi \vdash \varphi \xrightarrow{a} \Xi \vdash \varphi''} \text{R-EQUIV}$$

Well-formedness

- \equiv_{obs} preserves well-formedness
- any spec is either in, out or empty
- ϕ is wf! iff ϕ can do an outgoing step (analogously for ?)
- **subject reduction**: $\Xi \vdash \phi : wf$ and $\Xi \vdash \phi \xrightarrow{a} \Xi' \vdash \phi'$, then $\Xi' \vdash \phi' : wf$.
- **soundness** Assume $\Xi \vdash C$. If $\Xi \vdash C \xrightarrow{t}$, then $\Xi \vdash \phi_t : wf$ (where ϕ_t is the trace t interpreted as spec. formula)

Scheduling and asynchronous testing of Creol objects

- Combine:
 - external behavior of object
 - intended behavior given by specification
- interaction defined by synchronous parallel composition
- specification φ and component must engage in corresponding steps:
 - For *incoming* communication, this schedules the order of interactions with the component
 - For *outgoing* communication, the interaction will take place only if it matches an outgoing label in the specification
 - **Error** if the specification requires input and the component could do output.

Parallel composition

$$\frac{\Xi \vdash C \xrightarrow{\tau} \Xi \vdash \dot{C}}{\Xi \vdash C \parallel \varphi \rightarrow \Xi \vdash \dot{C} \parallel \varphi} \text{PAR-INT}$$

$$\frac{\Xi \vdash \varphi : wf?}{\Xi \vdash \nu(\Xi').(C \parallel n(\text{let } x:T = o.l(\vec{v}) \text{ in } t) \parallel \varphi) \rightarrow \dot{\downarrow}} \text{PAR-ERROR}$$

$$\frac{\Xi_1 \vdash C \xrightarrow{a} \dot{\Xi}_1 \vdash \dot{C} \quad \Xi_1 \vdash \varphi \xrightarrow{b} \dot{\Xi}_2 \vdash \dot{\varphi} \quad \vdash a \lesssim_{\sigma} b}{\Xi_1 \vdash C \parallel \varphi \rightarrow \dot{\Xi}_1 \vdash \dot{C} \parallel \dot{\varphi} \sigma} \text{PAR}$$

- **Matching** of φ 's step and components step ($\vdash a \lesssim_{\sigma} b$)
- As said: specification contains:
 - freshness assertions ($\nu(x:T)$)
 - standard variable declarations ($x:T$)

Matching

$$\frac{}{\vdash () \lesssim () : ok} \text{ M-Empty}$$

$$\frac{\vdash \Xi_1 \lesssim \Xi_2 : ok}{\vdash v(n:T), \Xi_1 \lesssim v(n:T), \Xi_2 : ok} \text{ M-NDec}$$

$$\frac{\vdash \Xi_1 \lesssim \Xi_2 : ok}{\vdash v(n:T), \Xi_1 \lesssim (n:T), \Xi_2 : ok} \text{ M-Dec}_1$$

$$\frac{\vdash \Xi_1 \lesssim \Xi_2 : ok}{\vdash \Xi_1 \lesssim (n:T), \Xi_2 : ok} \text{ M-Dec}_2$$

$$\frac{\vdash a_1 \lesssim_{\sigma} a_2 : ok \quad \vdash \Xi_1 \lesssim \Xi_2 \sigma : ok}{\vdash \Xi_1.a_1 \lesssim_{\sigma} \Xi_2.a_2 : ok} \text{ M-Lab}$$

Implementation in rewriting logic.

- Creol interpreter executable in Maude
- Implementation of the spec. language in Maude, too
- Execution of Creol components *synchronized* with specifications
 - *generate* input from specification
 - *test* component behaviour for conformance
- *No input queue*, specified method calls are answered immediately
- Reentering suspended methods may interfere.

Implementation in rewriting logic

- Creol configuration: objects, classes, and messages:
 $\text{rl } \text{Cfg} \Rightarrow \text{Cfg}'.$
- Scheduling interpreter: introduce Spec for specifications.
 $\text{rl } (\text{Spec} \parallel 0) \text{Cfg} \Rightarrow (\text{Spec}' \parallel 0') \text{Cfg}'.$
- operational semantics **easily coded** into Maude.
- “Observational blur”, implemented rewriting modulo equivalences.

Summary

- Formalization of interface behavior of Creol + a behavioral interface specification language.
- A formal description of how to use this specification language for black-box testing of asynchronously communicating Creol objects.
- A rewriting logic **implementation** of the testing framework

Future work

- from objects to multi-object components
- more features from the Creol language
- extend specifications with assertion statements on labels
- combine: testing framework + model checking and abstraction
- case study

- [Johnsen et al., 2008]
 - validating component interfaces
 - assumption/commitment style
 - FOL over traces
- [Schlatte et al., 2008]
 - scheduling activity to restrict behavior
 - intra object scheduling
 - internal state of object

References I

[Johnsen et al., 2008] Johnsen, E. B., Owe, O., and Torjusen, A. B. (2008).
Validating behavioral component interfaces in rewriting logic.
Fundamenta Informaticae, 82(4):341–359.

[Schlatte et al., 2008] Schlatte, R., Aichernig, B., de Boer, F., Griesmayer, A., and Johnsen, E. B. (2008).
Testing (with) application-specific schedulers for concurrent objects.
Accepted for ICTAC 2008, 5th International Colloquium on Theoretical Aspects of Computing.